

Editorial board

Editor-in-Chief: Y.P. Pokholkov, President of the Association for Engineering

Education of Russia, Head of Department of Organisation and Technology of Higher Professional Education of National

Research Tomsk Polytechnic University, Professor.

Executive Secretary: B.L. Agranovich, Director of West-Siberian Regional Centre of

Social and Information Technologies, Professor.

Editorial Board Members:

J. J. Perez Full Professor of Physical Chemistry of the Department of

Chemical Engineering of the Technical University of Catalonia

of the School of Industrial Engineering of Barcelona

J. C. Quadrado President of Lisbon Superior Engineering Institute

M.P. Fedorov Scientific Supervisor of NRU Programme of Saint-Petersburg

State Polytechnical University, Professor

G.A. Mesyats Vice-President of the Russian Academy of Science, Director of

RAS Lebedev Physical Institute (Moscow), RAS Full Member

S.A. Podlesny Adviser to the Rector of Siberian Federal University, Professor

V.M. Prikhodko Rector of Moscow State Automobile and Road Technical

University, RAS Corresponding Member

D.V. Puzankov Head of Department of Saint-Petersburg State Electrotechnical

University "LETI", Professor

A.S. Sigov President of Moscow State Technical University of Radio

Engineering, Electronics and Automation, RAS Corresponding

Member

Y.S. Karabasov President of National Research Technological University

"MISIS", Professor

N.V. Pustovoy Rector of Novosibirsk State Technical University, Professor

I.B. Fedorov President of Bauman Moscow State Technical University

(National Research University), RAS Academician

P.S. Chubik Rector of National Research Tomsk Polytechnic University,

Professor

A.L. Shestakov Rector of South Ural State University (National Research

University), Professor



DEAR READERS!

This issue of the journal "Engineering Education" is devoted to the articles studying best practices of implementing practice-oriented educational technologies in engineering education.

Today it is relevant topic due to intensification of the contradictions between employers requirements and the quality of engineers training and specialists with higher education degree diplomas, prepared to work in engineering positions (bachelors, masters). The study of this contradiction, conducted by the Association of Engineering Education within the last 3-4 years showed that the requirements of employers could be summarized in the need of students able to think and act independently in their professional field from the first working day (without any delay). At the same time Russian academic community is rather conservative and follows training traditions dating back to the Soviet period, when there was so called "Institute of young professionals" that allowed luxury of two or even three years for additional training of graduates to bring them up to the "condition" needed. It was not surprising for university graduate to hear such a phrase when entering the enterprise "Forget everything you've been taught, we will teach you to how to work". Modern employers do not consider it possible to allocate their resources to bring the graduate to the required level and easily justify their claims: "We pay taxes, which make up the budget, including education, please spend it efficiently and stop training half-made professionals at the universities. We do not offer you our halffinished goods and products".

However we could not claim academic community in stubborn wish to preserve outdated system of engineers' training. On the contrary, the new federal state educational standards and CDIO, adopted in many engineering universities in Russia, are enhancing this community to use such educational technologies that would ensure no period of graduates adaptation to work conditions in industries or at least permit to shorten it significantly.

Unfortunately, the current trends in the transformation of engineering education programs (including the content and technology) do not permit us to look forward that changes in the system of engineering training will take place soon.

We are talking about the use of socalled "competence-based" approach. The focus on the competencies formation of engineering university graduates within their training a positive advantage that will improve the quality of their training. However, while maintaining class lesson system of training of future engineers and taking into account explosive bureaucratization process of transition to competence-based education, it seems hard to overcome the mismatch described above.

Developing Learning Methodology Reference. Document Set (commonly known in Russia as UMKD) that meet the bureaucratic rules for the formation of competencies requires a lot of time and efforts from the teacher and its cost effectiveness is very low. We should not forget that this kind of bureaucratic requirements complicate involvement of highly qualified and experienced experts from the industry. The prospect of spending your precious time on the preparation of multi-page documents discourages such experts to participate in the training process. This fact and the fact that university teachers, despite their academic degrees and titles usually have low level of «industrial» qualification, significantly reduce the possibility of formation of those competencies that employers really look for.

In the academic environment it is well known that if great Personality enters the classroom, then UMKD means "nothing", and, on the contrary, if Ignoramus enters the classroom, even well written set of documents will not assist in preparing good professional.

In December 2013 Association for Engineering Education of Russia jointly with Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radioelectronics, Moscow State Technical University of Radioengineering, Electronics and Automation, Czech Technical University in Prague, European Society for Engineering Education SEFI held in Prague an international conference, which considered in detail the problem of forming the necessary competencies for future engineers. Several papers presented at the conference were submitted to this issue of the journal.

The Editorial Board hopes that presented articles will be helpful for those who choose the tools to develop practical competencies of future engineers. We also hope that these articles will be discussed in professional community and contribute to the emergence of new educational technologies, significantly reducing the period of graduates' adaption to real work conditions.

Sincerely, Editor-in-Chief, Prof. Yury Pokholkov

ENGINEERING EDUCATION 13'2013

3

Contents

Editor's letter	2
COMPETENCE DEVELOPMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE OF FUTURE SPECIALIST TRAINING	
Forming Competences for Generating New Ideas – Basis of Cor Engineering Education	
S.A. Podlesny, A.V. Kozlov	4
"Formula-Student" Project as a Platform for Practice-Oriented Training of Enginnering Graduates V.V. Yeltsov, A.V. Skripachev	10
Practical Competences as Learning Outcomes Using CES EduPack T.V. Vakhitova, C. Fredriksson	16
"Risk-Management" and "Risk of Management" as Phenomenon of Continuing Professional Education N.V. Samsonova, Y.S. Minkova	24
Tools and Indicators for a Dynamic, Innovative and Optimized Education Program S. Flament	26
Intelligent Data Analysis in Quality Management Problems of Education Process G. Soltan, S. Smailova, I. Uvalieva, A. Tomilin	30
Organising Educational and Training Process in Cooperation with Employers N.I. Senin, M.N. Popova	36
Ability in Professional Community as Universal Competence of a Modern Engineer I.G. Kartushina	40
TECHNOLOGICAL METHODS AND TECHNIQUES IN ENGINEERING EDUCATION	
Technical Mechanics within Technology Teachers Training System V. Luzhetsky, Yu. Pavlovsky	44
Learning Motivation of Engineering University Students by Means	.,
of Pedagogical Support of Education Yu.V. Podpovetnaya	49

Implementation of Organizational and Pedagogical Conditions for End-to-End Course	
Project Technology <i>L.A. Kulgina</i>	54
Educational Process at the Federal University as a Basis to Implement Innovative Practice-Oriented Educational Technologies N.S. Buryanina, A.A. Pshennikov, I.S. Lysenkov, E.V. Lesnykh	60
Approaches and Methods for Motivation Development at University <i>L.M. Semenova</i>	64
Activities-Based Teaching to Build Environmental Competence of Students <i>L.S. Nasrutdinova</i>	70
Quality Management of Project Development Process E. Shepeleva, I. Kuznetsova, E. Shepelev	74
Sustainable Technological Facilities in ESPC Educational Institutions as a Factor of Efficiency and Quality Improvement of Engineering Education <i>M.A. Tarasova</i>	78
DISCUSSION	
Advanced Development of Engineering Education in Russia <i>L.B. Khoroshavin, T.A. Badina</i>	82
Improving Educational Activity at State National Research University Based on the Concept of Practice-Oriented learning A.V. Mamatov, A.N. Nemtsev,	ne
L.A. Kadutskaya	88
Engineering Education 2.0: the Eindhoven Case <i>D.J. W.M. Mulders</i>	92
Education and Methodics Associations in Russian XXI-st – Century Universities <i>A.E. Vorobiev</i>	100
School n.a. Professor N.S. Nikolaev <i>R.R. Kopirin</i>	108
OUR AUTHORS	112
Summary	116
List of AEER Accredited Programmes	120